,	plication No.	Applicant(s)
·		
Madiaa af Allaurahilitu.	/660,300 aminer	SMITHSON ET AL. Art Unit
c	ristopher A. Revak	2131
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or o NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGH of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and	REMAINS) CLOSED in other appropriate comminents. This application is some	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>July 29, 2004</u> .		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,3-5,8-13,15-17,20-25,27-29,32-3	<u>6</u> .	i
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>12 September 2000</u> are accepted by the	Examiner.	
 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been as ☐ Copies of the Direction of ☐ Copies Option of ☐	en received. en received in Applicatio	on No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of the noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMEN' THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted.	Γ of this application.	
INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives re		
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be (a)	Patent Drawing Review nendment / Comment or	r in the Office action of he drawings in the front (not the back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR		
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. ☐ Interview S Paper No. 7. ☑ Examiner's	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance — AVAZ SHEIKH SUPERWISORY PATENT EXAMINE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/660,300

Art Unit: 2131

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Kevin Zilka on September 9, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 1:

on line 1, after –computer program product--, insert "embodied on a computer readable medium";

on line 2, delete [apparatus] and insert "system";

on line 4, delete [apparatus] and insert "system";

on line 7, after –threshold levels--, insert ", wherein one of said measurement parameters is e-mail throughput within said computer system, wherein each e-mail processed has an associated size value and e-mail throughput is measured in a form dependent upon a number of e-mails and a total of size values for said e-mails within a predetermined period";

Cancel claims 6 & 7;

Application/Control Number: 09/660,300

Art Unit: 2131

In claim 13:

on line 2, delete [apparatus] and insert "system";

on line 4, delete [apparatus] and insert "system";

on line 6, after –threshold levels--, insert ", wherein one of said measurement parameters is e-mail throughput within said computer system, wherein each e-mail processed has an associated size value and e-mail throughput is measured in a form dependent upon a number of e-mails and a total of size values for said e-mails within a predetermined period";

Cancel claims 18 & 19;

In claim 25:

on line 2, delete [apparatus] and insert "system";

on line 4, delete [apparatus] and insert "system";

on line 7, after –threshold levels--, insert ", wherein one of said measurement parameters is e-mail throughput within said computer system, wherein each e-mail processed has an associated size value and e-mail throughput is measured in a form dependent upon a number of e-mails and a total of size values for said e-mails within a predetermined period";

Cancel claims 30 & 31.

Application/Control Number: 09/660,300

Art Unit: 2131

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

It was not found to be taught in the prior art of detecting viruses by measuring e-mail throughput within a computer system, wherein each e-mail processed has an associated size value and e-mail throughput is measured in a form dependent upon a number of e-mails and a total of size values for said e-mails within a predetermined period.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Revak whose telephone number is 703-305-1843. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 703-305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

CR

September 9, 2004